

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/523,573

Applicant(s)

NADEN ET AL.

Examiner

Bharat N Barot

Art Unit

2155

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Bharat N Barot (Examiner). *B/B* (3) _____
(2) Jun Y. Lee (Reg. No. 40,262)(App. Rep.). *JYL* (4) _____

Date of Interview: 03 August 2004.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: Proposed claim 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: US Patent to Logan et al (US Patent No. 5,721,827).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed claims 1, 11, and 21 are distinguished from the cited prior-art, but examiner has to up-date his search and re-consider based on the proposed claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Bharat Barot

**BHARAT BAROT
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required